Int onal Application No PCT/FP2004/006921

PCT/EP2004/006921 A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER
IPC 7 A61K31/336 A61K A61K31/336 A61K31/365 A61K31/427 A61P35/00 According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC B. FIELDS SEARCHED Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols) IPC 7 A61K Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included. In the fields searched Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used) EPO-Internal, BIOSIS, MEDLINE, EMBASE C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT Category ° Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages Relevant to claim No. X US 6 302 838 B1 (WARTMANN MARKUS ET AL) 1-41 16 October 2001 (2001-10-16) BOLLAG ET AL: "Epothilones a new class of X 1 - 41microtubule-stabilizing agents with a taxol-like mechanism of action" CANCER RESEARCH, AMERICAN ASSOCIATION FOR CANCER RESEARCH, BALTIMORE, MD, US, vol. 55, 1 June 1995 (1995-06-01), pages 2325-2333, XP002111282 ISSN: 0008-5472 abstract -/--Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C. X X Patent family members are listed in annex. Special categories of cited documents: \*T\* later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance \*E\* earlier document but published on or after the international "X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone filing date "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) "Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such docu-\*O\* document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means ments, such combination being obvious to a person skilled document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed in the art. "&" document member of the same patent family Date of the actual completion of the international search Date of mailing of the international search report 24 September 2004 12/10/2004 Name and mailing address of the ISA Authorized officer European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo ni, Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016 Beyss, E

Int onal Application No
PCT/EP2004/006921

		PCT/EP2004/006921	
	ntion) DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT		
Category °	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages		Relevant to claim No.
X	TING-CHAO, C. ET AL.: "Desoxyepothilone B: An efficacious microtubule-targeted antitumor agent with a promising in vivo profile relative to epothilone B" PNAS, vol. 95, August 1998 (1998-08), pages 9642-9647, XP002297809 cited in the application abstract		1-41
			·
İ			
i			
		,	
	•		
	·		
			1.

rnational application No. PCT/EP2004/006921

This international Search Report has not been established in respect of certain claims under Article 17(2)(a) for the following reasons:  1. X Claims Nos.:	Box II Observa	tions where certain claims were found unsearchable (Continuation of item 2 of first sheet)
1. X Claims Nos: because they relate to subject matter not required to be searched by this authority, namely:  Although claims 1-41 are directed to a method of treatment of the human/animal body, the search has been carried out and based on the alleged effects of the compound/composition.  2. Claims Nos: because they relate to parts of the international Application that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to such an extent that no meaningful international Search can be carried out, specifically:  3. Claims Nos: because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a).  Box III Observations where unity of invention is tacking (Continuation of Item 3 of first sheet)  This international Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows:  1. As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international Search Report covers all searchable claims.  2. As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international Search Report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:  The additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international Search Report is restricted to the invention first monitoned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:  The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.		
because they relate to subject matter not required to be searched by this Authority, namely:  Although claims 1–41 are directed to a method of treatment of the human/animal body, the search has been carried out and based on the alleged effects of the compound/composition.  Collaims Noa:  Collaims Noa:  Dealth in the parts of the international Application that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to such an extent that no meaningful international Search can be carried out, specifically:  Claims Noa:  Dealth Noa:  As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international Search Report covers all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee.  As any some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international Search Report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Noa:  The additional search Report to meeticled to the invention first monitoned in the claims; it is covered by claims Noa:  The additional search reported to the invention first monitoned in the claims; it is covered by claims Noa:	This International S	earch Report has not been established in respect of certain claims under Article 17(2)(a) for the following reasons:
Although claims 1-41 are directed to a method of treatment of the human/animal body, the search has been carried out and based on the alleged effects of the compound/composition.  2. Claims Nos.:	1. X Claims No because the	s.: ney relate to subject matter not required to be searched by this Authority, namely:
because they relate to parts of the International Application that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to such an extent that no meaningful international Search can be carried out, specificativ:  3.	Althou body,	gh claims 1-41 are directed to a method of treatment of the human/animal
Box III Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of item 3 of first sheet)  This international Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows:  1. As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international Search Report covers all searchable claims.  2. As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee.  3. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international Search Report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:  4. No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international Search Report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:	because th	By relate to parts of the International Application 1.
Box III Observations where unity of invention is facking (Continuation of item 3 of first sheet)  This international Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows:  1. As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international Search Report covers all searchable claims.  2. As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee.  3. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international Search Report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:  4. No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international Search Report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:		
This international Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows:  1. As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international Search Report covers all searchable claims.  2. As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee.  3. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international Search Report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:  4. No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international Search Report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:  The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.		s.: ey are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a).
1. As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this International Search Report covers all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee.  3. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this International Search Report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:  4. No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this International Search Report is restricted to the Invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:	Box III Observat	ions where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of item 3 of first sheet)
1. As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this International Search Report covers all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee.  3. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this International Search Report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:  4. No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this International Search Report is restricted to the Invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:		
As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee.  3. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international Search Report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:  4. No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international Search Report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:  The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.		
As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee.  3. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this International Search Report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:  4. No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this International Search Report is restricted to the Invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:  The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.		
As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee.  3. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this International Search Report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:  4. No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this International Search Report is restricted to the Invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:  The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.		
As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee.  3. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this International Search Report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:  4. No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this International Search Report is restricted to the Invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:  The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.	•	
As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this International Search Report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:  No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this International Search Report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:  Remark on Protest  The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.	1. As all requires searchable	red additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this International Search Report covers all claims.
A. No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this International Search Report is restricted to the Invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:  Remark on Protest  The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.	2. As all searce of any addit	hable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment ional fee.
A. No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this International Search Report is restricted to the Invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:  Remark on Protest  The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.	3. As only som covers only	ne of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international Search Report those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:
Remark on Protest  The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.		
Remark on Protest  The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.		
The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.	4. No required restricted to	additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this International Search Report is the Invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:
The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.		
No protest accompanied the payment of additional search fees.	Remark on Protest	The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.
		No protest accompanied the payment of additional search fees.

information on patent family members

Ir onal Application No
PCT/EP2004/006921

Patent document Publication Patent family Publication			101,7112001,700321	
cited in search report date member(s) Publication date	Patent document cited in search report		Patent family member(s)	Publication date
US 6302838 B1 16-10-2001 AU 755944 B2 02-01-2003 AU 2927999 A 15-09-1999 BE 1011980 A5 07-03-2000 CA 2319752 A1 02-09-1999 WO 9943320 A1 02-09-1999 EP 1056453 A1 06-12-2000 FR 2775187 A1 27-08-1999 IT MI990375 A1 25-08-1999 JP 2002504511 T 12-02-2002 NZ 506187 A 28-11-2003 US 2004024033 A1 05-02-2004 US 2002028839 A1 07-03-2002	US 6302838 B1	A E C W E F I J N	2927999 A 1011980 A5 1011980 A5 2319752 A1 10 9943320 A1 17 1056453 A1 18 2775187 A1 17 MI990375 A1 18 2002504511 T 18 506187 A 18 2004024033 A1	15-09-1999 07-03-2000 02-09-1999 02-09-1999 06-12-2000 27-08-1999 25-08-1999 12-02-2002 28-11-2003 05-02-2004

Form PCT/ISA/210 (patent family annex) (January 2004)